arXiv:1204.3400v1 [math.CA] 16 Apr 2012

Apparent singular points of factors of reducible generalized hypergeometric equations

Akihito Ebisu

March 2, 2013

Abstract

We consider a reducible generalized hypergeometric equation, whose subequation possesses apparent singular points. We determine the polynomial whose roots are these points. We show that this polynomial is a generalized hypergeometric polynomial.

Key Words and Phrases. the generalized hypergeometric equation, reducible, apparent singular point, minor of Wronskian.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification Numbers. 33C20.

1 Introduction.

The generalized hypergeometric differential equation ${}_{n+1}E_n(a;b) = {}_{n+1}E_n$ $(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n; b_1, \cdots, b_n)$ is defined by ${}_{n+1}L_n y = 0$, where

$$ {}_{n+1}L_n = {}_{n+1}L_n(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n; b_1, \cdots, b_n) := \prod_{m=0}^{n} (\vartheta + a_m) - \prod_{m=0}^{n} (\vartheta + b_m) x^{-1}, $$

with $b_0 = 1$ and $\vartheta = x\partial = x\dfrac{d}{dx}$.

It is known that ${}_{n+1}E_n(a;b)$ is irreducible if and only if $a_i - b_j \notin \mathbf{Z}$ for all $i, j = 0, 1, \cdots, n$ (cf. Proposition 3.3 of [1]). Here, reducibility implies that a non-trivial subspace, say V, of the solution space is invariant under the monodromy group. If ${}_{n+1}E_n(a;b)$ is reducible, then the operator ${}_{n+1}L_n$ can be factored as ${}_{n+1}L_n = P_1 \cdot P_2$, where P_1 and P_2 are differential operators whose coefficients are rational functions. In general, the differential equation $P_2 y = 0$ may have apparent singular points in $\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$. For example, if $n = 2$ and $a_0 = 1 + k (k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$, the characteristic exponents at $x = 0, 1, \infty$ of $P_2 y = 0$ are as follows:

$$ \left\{ \begin{matrix} x = 0 & x = 1 & x = \infty \\ 1 - b_1 & 0 & a_1 \\ 1 - b_2 & b_1 + b_2 - a_1 - a_2 - 1 - k & a_2 \end{matrix} \right\}. $$

However, this scheme does not satisfy Fuchs's relation, except in the case $k = 0$. It is thus seen that $P_2 y = 0$ has apparent singular points in $\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$, except in the case $k = 0$.

The above considerations lead to the following question:

- Where do the apparent singular points appear in $\mathbf{C}-\{0,1\}$?

In this paper, we answer this question using the following observation:

- At these apparent singular points, the Wronskian of the span of V vanishes, because V is the solution space of $P_2 y = 0$. Conversely, this Wronskian does not vanish besides these points in $\mathbf{C}-\{0,1\}$.

In the following, we find the polynomials whose roots are apparent singular points when $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$ or $a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$. We then show that these polynomials are generalized hypergeometric polynomials. For example, if $a_0 = 1+k$ $(k \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0})$, the polynomial is ${}_{n+1}F_n(-k, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n; x)$.

2 Minors of Wronskian

The generalized hypergeometric differential equation ${}_{n+1}E_n(a;b)$ with free parameters $a_0, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n$ possesses solutions near $x=0$ in the form of the generalized hypergeometric series

$$f_0 := {}_{n+1}F_n(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n; b_1, \cdots, b_n; x) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0,m)(a_1,m)\cdots(a_n,m)}{(b_0,m)(b_1,m)\cdots(b_n,m)} x^m,$$

where $(a,m) := a \cdot (a+1) \cdots (a+m-1)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} f_i := x^{1-b_i}{}_{n+1}F_n(&a_0+1-b_i, a_1+1-b_i, \cdots, a_n+1-b_i; \\ &b_1+1-b_i, \cdots, b_{i-1}+1-b_i, 2-b_i, b_{i+1}+1-b_i, \cdots, b_n+1-b_i; x) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 1, \cdots, n$. Further, it possesses solutions near $x=\infty$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} g_i := (-x)^{-a_i}{}_{n+1}F_n(&a_i, a_i+1-b_1, \cdots, a_i+1-b_n; \\ &a_i+1-a_0, \cdots, a_i+1-a_{i-1}, a_i+1-a_{i+1}, \cdots, a_i+1-a_n; 1/x) \end{aligned}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$. We denote the $(n+1)$ minors of the Wronskian of the above $(n+1)$ solutions near $x=0$ by F_i for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$:

$$F_i := \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & \overset{\vee}{f_i} & \cdots & f_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^{n-1} f_0 & \cdots & \partial^{n-1} f_i & \cdots & \partial^{n-1} f_n \end{vmatrix}. \qquad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, n) \tag{2.1}$$

Similarly, we denote the $(n+1)$ minors of the Wronskian of the above $(n+1)$ solutions near $x=\infty$ by G_i for $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$:

$$G_i := \begin{vmatrix} g_0 & \cdots & \overset{\vee}{g_i} & \cdots & g_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^{n-1} g_0 & \cdots & \partial^{n-1} g_i & \cdots & \partial^{n-1} g_n \end{vmatrix}. \qquad (i = 0, 1, \cdots, n) \tag{2.2}$$

We consider the space, say W, spanned by $F_0, F_1, \cdots, F_n$.

To begin with, we show that the space W can be identical to the solution space of a Fuchsian differential equation and has no singular points away from $x = 0, 1, \infty$. Because f_i satisfies $E(a; b)$, $\partial^{n+1} f_i$ is expressed as

$$\partial^{n+1} f_i = p_n \partial^n f_i + p_{n-1} \partial^{n-1} f_i + \cdots + p_0 f_i,$$

where each p_j is a rational function with only two poles at $x = 0, 1$. Set

$$F_{m,i} = \begin{vmatrix} f_0 & \cdots & \overset{\vee}{f_i} & \cdots & f_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^{m-1} f_0 & \cdots & \partial^{m-1} f_i & \cdots & \partial^{m-1} f_n \\ \partial^{m+1} f_0 & \cdots & \partial^{m+1} f_i & \cdots & \partial^{m+1} f_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \partial^n f_0 & \cdots & \partial^n f_i & \cdots & \partial^n f_n \end{vmatrix}.$$

Then, we find that $F_i = F_{n,i}$, $\partial F_i = F_{n-1,i}$ and $\partial^2 F_i = F_{n-2,i} + p_{n-1} F_{n-1,i} + p_n F_{n,i}$. In the same way, $\partial^m F_i$ can be expressed as $F_{n-m,i} + P_{n-m+1,m}\ F_{n-m+1,i} + \cdots + P_{n,m} F_{n,i}$ for $m = 0, \cdots, n$ and $\partial^{n+1} F_i$ can be expressed as $P_{0,n+1} F_{0,i} + \cdots + P_{n,n+1} F_{n,i}$, where each $P_{k,m}$ is a rational function with at most two poles at $x = 0, 1$. Note that any $P_{k,m}$ does not depend on i. Therefore, any F_i satisfies the same linear differential equation with order at most $(n+1)$ whose coefficients are rational functions with at most two poles at $x = 0, 1$ in $\mathbf{C}$. It is obvious that the solution space of this equation includes the space W. Hence, there is no singular points besides $x = 0, 1, \infty$ in W. Moreover, since each F_i is sums of products of the product of a power function and a holomorphic function near $x = 0$, W has a regular singular point at $x = 0$. W also has a regular singularity at $x = \infty$ because $G_0, G_1, \cdots, G_n$ span W. Similarly, we can find W has a regular singularity at $x = 1$. In the next paragraph, we find that $\{F_0, \cdots, F_n\}$ is linearly independent by evaluating local behavior of each F_i near $x = 0$. Assuming this, we see that this equation has, in fact, order $(n+1)$ and the solution space of this equation is identical to the space W. So, we can consider the Riemann scheme of the space W.

Next, we tabulate the Riemann scheme of the space W. First, we evaluate the characteristic exponents at $x = 0$. For this, we evaluate local behavior of each F_i near $x = 0$. For $i = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 &= \begin{vmatrix} x^{1-b_1} & \cdots & x^{1-b_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \prod_{l=0}^{n-2} (1 - b_1 - l) x^{2-b_1-n} & \cdots & \prod_{l=0}^{n-2} (1 - b_n - l) x^{2-b_n-n} \end{vmatrix} v_0(x) \\ &= x^{b_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \prod_{l=0}^{n-2} (1 - b_1 - l) & \cdots & \prod_{l=0}^{n-2} (1 - b_n - l) \end{vmatrix} v_0(x) \\ &= \prod_{1 \le j < k \le n} (b_j - b_k) x^{b_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}} v_0(x), \end{aligned}$$

where $v_0(x)$ is a holomorphic function near $x = 0$ with $v_0(0) = 1$. In the same way, we have, for $i = 1, \cdots, n$,

$$F_i = \prod_{l=1, l\neq i}^{n} (1-b_l) \prod_{1\leq j<k\leq n,\, j,k\neq i} (b_j - b_k) x^{b_i - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}} v_i(x),$$

where $v_i(x)$ is a holomorphic function near $x = 0$ with $v_i(0) = 1$. Therefore, we obtain the characteristic exponents at $x = 0$:

$$b_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}, \cdots, b_n - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}.$$

Second, we evaluate the characteristic exponents at $x = \infty$. For this, we evaluate local behavior of each G_i at $x = \infty$. For $i = 0, 1, \cdots, n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_i &= \begin{vmatrix} (-x)^{-a_0} & \cdots & \overset{\vee}{(-x)^{-a_i}} & \cdots & (-x)^{-a_n} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (a_0, n-1)(-x)^{1-a_0-n} & \cdots & (a_i, n-1)(-x)^{1-a_i-n} & \cdots & (a_n, n-1)(-x)^{1-a_n-n} \end{vmatrix} \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \times w_i(1/x) \\ &= (-x)^{a_i - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & \overset{\vee}{1} & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ (a_0, n-1) & \cdots & (a_i, n-1) & \cdots & (a_n, n-1) \end{vmatrix} w_i(1/x) \\ &= \prod_{0\leq j<k\leq n,\, j,k\neq i} (a_k - a_j)(-x)^{a_i - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - \frac{n(n-1)}{2}} w_i(1/x), \end{aligned}$$

where $w_i(1/x)$ is a holomorphic function near $x = \infty$ with $w_i(0) = 1$. Hence, we obtain the characteristic exponents at $x = \infty$:

$$\sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a_0, \cdots, \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a_n.$$

In the same way, we can evaluate the characteristic exponents at $x = 1$. However, we omit the detail of evaluation of these because it is more complicated. Thus, we obtain the Riemann scheme of the space W:

$$\left\{ \begin{matrix} x = 0 & x = 1 & x = \infty \\ b_0 - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2} & 0 & \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a_0 \\ b_1 - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2} & \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - 1 & \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_n - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2} & \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n & \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} - a_n \end{matrix} \right\}. \tag{2.3}$$

Note that every function near $x = 1$ of W is free from logarithmic terms and the scheme (2.3) is identical to

$$x^{1-\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}} (1-x)^{\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n}$$

$$\left\{\begin{matrix} x=0 & x=1 & x=\infty \\ 0 & \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m + n - \sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m & 1-a_0 \\ b_1-1 & 0 & 1-a_1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ b_n-1 & n-1 & 1-a_n \end{matrix}\right\}. \tag{2.4}$$

The scheme in (2.4) is the Riemann scheme of ${}_{n+1}E_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n)$. Therefore, writing the solution space of ${}_{n+1}E_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n)$ as ${}_{n+1}S_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n)$, we find that each minor at $x=0,\infty$ is an element of

$$\begin{aligned} &x^{1-\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}}(1-x)^{\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n} \\ &\qquad\qquad \times {}_{n+1}S_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *We define F_i and G_i as (2.1) and (2.2), respectively. Then, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} F_0 = &\prod_{1\le j<k\le n}(b_j-b_k)x^{1-\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}}(1-x)^{\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n} \\ &\qquad \times {}_{n+1}F_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n; x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F_i = &\prod_{l=1, l\ne i}^{n}(1-b_l)\prod_{1\le j<k\le n,\, j,k\ne i}(b_j-b_k)x^{b_i-\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}}(1-x)^{\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n} \\ &\times {}_{n+1}F_n(b_i-a_0, b_i-a_1, \cdots, b_i-a_n; \\ &\quad b_i+1-b_1, \cdots, b_i+1-b_{i-1}, b_i, b_i+1-b_{i+1}, \cdots, b_i+1-b_n; x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

for $i=1,\cdots,n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} G_i = &\prod_{0\le j<k\le n,\, j,k\ne i}(a_k-a_j)(-x)^{a_i-\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \frac{n(n-3)}{2}}(1-x)^{\sum_{m=0}^{n} b_m - \sum_{m=0}^{n} a_m - n} \\ &\times {}_{n+1}F_n(1-a_i, b_1-a_i, \cdots, b_n-a_i; \\ &\quad a_0+1-a_i, \cdots, a_{i-1}+1-a_i, a_{i+1}+1-a_i, \cdots, a_n+1-a_i; 1/x) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

for $i=0,1,\cdots,n$.

Remark 2.2. *Because (2.5) is a functional equation, if both sides of this equation are well-defined, then it is valid. The same holds for (2.6) and (2.7).*

3 Apparent singular points of $P_2y=0$

If $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\le 0}$, then f_0 spans an invariant space V. Therefore, the apparent singular points are the roots of f_0.

We consider in case that $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. If $a_0=1$, then $E(a;b)$ is factored as

$$P_1 \cdot P_2 y = (\vartheta+1)\left(\prod_{m=1}^{n}(\vartheta+a_m) - \prod_{m=1}^{n}(\vartheta+b_m)x^{-1}\right)y = 0.$$

Therefore, because $f_1|_{a_0=1}, \cdots, f_n|_{a_0=1}$ solve $P_2 y = 0$, these functions span an invariant space V in case $a_0 = 1$. We denote $(\vartheta+1)\cdots(\vartheta+k)$ by $H(k)$ for a positive integer k. Then, the monodromy group for a basis $(f_0|_{a_0=1}, \cdots, f_n|_{a_0=1})$ of $S(a;b)|_{a_0=1}$ is equal to that for a basis $(H(k)f_0|_{a_0=1}, \cdots, H(k)f_n|_{a_0=1})$ of $S(a;b)|_{a_0=1+k}$ (cf. Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 of [1]). Moreover, considering the characteristic exponents at $x = 0$, we find that $H(k)f_0|_{a_0=1}, \cdots, H(k)f_n|_{a_0=1}$ are equal to $f_0|_{a_0=1+k}, \cdots, f_n|_{a_0=1+k}$ up to multiple factors, respectively. Therefore, we find that $f_1, \cdots, f_n$ span an invariant space V in case $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Hence, the apparent singular points are the zeros of the Wronskian of $f_1, \cdots, f_n$ away from $x = 0, 1$ and ∞. This Wronskian is expressed in (2.5) in terms of ${}_{n+1}F_n$.

If $a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{<0}$, then g_0 spans an invariant space V. Therefore, the apparent singular points are the roots of g_0.

If $a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}$, then we can find that $g_1, \cdots, g_n$ span an invariant space V in the same way as $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}$. Hence, the apparent singular points are the zeros of the Wronskian of $g_1, \cdots, g_n$ away from $x = 0, 1$ and ∞. This Wronskian is expressed in (2.7) in terms of ${}_{n+1}F_n$.

We collect the results obtained above in the following.

Theorem 3.1. *For $a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}$, the apparent singular points of the differential equation $P_2 y = 0$ are respectively the roots of*

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_{n+1}F_n(a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n; b_1, \cdots, b_n; x) && (a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\leq 0}), \\ &{}_{n+1}F_n(1-a_0, 1-a_1, \cdots, 1-a_n; 2-b_1, \cdots, 2-b_n; x) && (a_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}). \end{aligned}$$

For $a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}$, the apparent singular points of this equation are respectively the roots of

$$\begin{aligned} &{}_{n+1}F_n(a_0, a_0+1-b_1, \cdots, a_0+1-b_n; a_0+1-a_1, \cdots, a_0+1-a_n; 1/x) \\ &\hspace{22em} (a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{<0}), \\ &{}_{n+1}F_n(1-a_0, b_1-a_0, \cdots, b_n-a_0; a_1+1-a_0, \cdots, a_n+1-a_0; 1/x) \\ &\hspace{22em} (a_0 - b_1 \in \mathbf{Z}_{\geq 0}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, we determine the Riemann scheme of V with free parameters $a_1, \cdots, a_n$, $b_1, \cdots, b_n$ in case that $a_0 = 1 + k \in Z_{>0}$. Because the Wronskian of V has k distinct roots $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_k$ in $\mathbf{C} - \{0, 1\}$ under this condition, the Riemann scheme is expressed as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{cccccc} x=0 & x=1 & x=\infty & x=\alpha_1 & \cdots & x=\alpha_k \\ 1-b_1 & \beta_1 & a_1 & \alpha_{1,1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k,1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1-b_{n-1} & \beta_{n-1} & a_{n-1} & \alpha_{1,n-1} & \cdots & \alpha_{k,n-1} \\ 1-b_n & \sum_{m=1}^n b_m - \sum_{m=1}^n a_m - 1 - k & a_n & \alpha_{1,n} & \cdots & \alpha_{k,n} \end{array}\right\}, \tag{3.1}$$

where each β_m is a non-negative integer less than n with $\beta_1 < \cdots < \beta_{n-1}$, and each $\alpha_{i,j}$ is a non-negative integer with $\alpha_{i,1} < \cdots < \alpha_{i,n}$. Therefore, the sum of all exponents of (3.1) is

$$n + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \beta_m - 1 - k + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \alpha_{i,j}.$$

Because each α_i is an apparent singular point and β_m and $\alpha_{i,j}$ satisfy the above condition, this sum is at least

$$\begin{aligned}&n+(0+1+\cdots+(n-2))-1-k+k\,(0+1+\cdots+(n-2)+n)\\&=\frac{(k+1)n(n-1)}{2}\end{aligned}$$

However, from Fuchs's relation, the sum of all exponents is identical to

$$\frac{(k+1)n(n-1)}{2}.$$

Therefore, we obtain that the Riemann scheme of V in this case is

$$\left\{\begin{matrix} x=0 & x=1 & x=\infty & x=\alpha_1 & \cdots & x=\alpha_k \\ 1-b_1 & 0 & a_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1-b_{n-1} & n-2 & a_{n-1} & n-2 & \cdots & n-2 \\ 1-b_n & \sum_{m=1}^n b_m-\sum_{m=1}^n a_m-1-k & a_n & n & \cdots & n \end{matrix}\right\}.$$

We can also determine the Riemann scheme of V in other cases.

Acknowledgement. The author would like to thank Professor Mitsuo Kato, who posed this problem, and Professor Yoshishige Haraoka for many valuable comments.

References

[1] F.Beukers and G.Heckman, *Monodromy for the hypergeometric function* ${}_nF_{n-1}$, Invent. Math, **95**(1989), no. 2, 325-354.

[2] Y.Haraoka, *Hypergeometric Functions(in Japanese)*, Asakura Publishing Co., (2002)

Akihito Ebisu
Department of Mathematics
Kyushu University
Nishi-ku, Fukuoka 819-0395
Japan
a-ebisu@math.kyushu-u.ac.jp